

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,105	03/15/2000	Raymond K. Jessup	247/129	9165	
7590 06/05/2006			EXAMINER		
LOUIS M. HEIDELBERGER REED SMITH LLP			MIRZA, ADNAN M		
2500 ONE LIBERTY PLACE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7301			2145		
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/526	105	JESSUP ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Adnan I		2145				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuter of the provision of the p	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply and III, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror pplication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 15 March 200	6.					
·) This action is						
'	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b)□ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	ne correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) is of	ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the ce	rtified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen	· ·							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lohtia et al (U.S. 2003/0211845) and further in view of Laursen et al (U.S. 6,233,608).

As per claims 1,14 Lohtia disclosed a method for sending local information from a wireless handset to a web server comprising the following steps: (a) receiving a service request from a user of tile wireless handset via a wireless data transmission passing through at least one wireless base station (Page. 1, Paragraph 0004), wherein the service request comprises a type of local information needed to carry out the service request: (b) acquiring the local information from the wireless handset (Page. 4, Paragraph. 0032);

However Lohtia did not disclose in detail (c) sending the local information to the Web server via uniform resource locator. Wherein the phone dialing process is modified to send the local information as part of the uniform resource locator.

In the same field of endeavor Laursen disclosed the communication protocol in the Internet is the well known Hyper Text Transfer Protocol or HTTP and runs on TCP and controls the connection of a well-known Hyper Text Markup Language Web browser, or HTML Web browser, to a Web server and the exchange of information there between (col. 6, lines 33-38). Each mobile phone is assigned to device ID which can be a phone number of the phone or a combination of an IP address and a port number for example: 204.163.165.132.01905 where 204.163.165.132 is the IP address and 09105 is the port number (col. 7, lines 57-61). The screen prompts user what to

proceed with the keypad, with a sequence of keypad entries and through the phone, a user can interactively communicate with a server through the airnet, link server and the Internet (col. 9, lines 28-31).

Page 3

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated sending the local information to the Web server via uniform resource locator. Wherein the phone dialing process is modified to send the local information as part of the uniform resource locator as taught by Laursen in the method of Lohtia to make the system efficient in terms of communication with data network through wireless means.

- 3. As per claim 2 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein the service request received in step (a) also comprises the URL address of the Web server (Laursen, col. 3, lines 55-61).
- 4. As per claims 3,12 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein step (c) comprises extracting the URL address from the service request, appending the local information to the URL address, and navigating a wireless browser to the URL address (Laursen, col. 8, lines 32-39).
- 5. As per claims 4,8 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein the wireless browser is an HDML/WML browser (Laursen, col. 6, lines 38-48).
- 6. As per claim 5,15 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein the local information comprises the geographic location of the handset (Ludwig, col. 7, lines 59-67).
- 7. As per claims 6,16 Lohtia-Laursen, disclosed wherein the geographic location is obtained from GPS data provided by a position determination system associated with the handset (Ludwig, col. 7, lines 36-57).
- 8. As per claim 7 rejected under the same limitations as per claim 1 plus additional limitations where Lohtia-Laursen disclosed a method for using a wireless browser to send local information from a wireless handset to a Web server or to dial a telephone number comprising

Application/Control Number: 09/526,105

Art Unit: 2145

the following steps: (a) receiving an input from a user of the wireless handset via a wireless data transmission passing through at least one wireless base station (Lohtia, Page. 1, Paragraph 0004), wherein the input comprises either a service request containing a type of local information needed to carry out the service request, or a telephone number to be dialed (Laursen, col. 7, lines 57-64); (b) determining whether the input comprises a service request or a telephone number (Laursen, col. 13, lines 40-50); (c) if the input is a telephone number, terminating the browser and dialing the telephone number (Laursen, col. 9, lines 4-19); and (d) if the input is a service request, acquiring the local information from the wireless handset and sending the local information to the Web server from the wireless handset via the uniform resource locator (Ludwig, col. 3, lines 42-46). Wherein the phone dialing process is modified to send the local information as part of the uniform resource locator (Laursen, col. 9, lines 28-31).

Page 4

- 9. As per claim 9 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein in step (a), if the input is a telephone number, the telephone number is inserted into the NUMBER field following an HDML/WML CALL command, and if the input is a service request, the type of local information needed and the URL address of the Web server is inserted into the NUMBER field following the HDML/WML CALL command (Laursen, col. 6, lines 34-65).
- 10. As per claim 10 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein step (b) comprises determining whether the NUMBER field includes a local information type (Laursen, col. 7, lines 20-35).
- 11. As per claim 11 Lohtia-Laursen disclosed wherein step (b) comprises determining whether the NUMBER field includes a URL address (Laursen, , col. 3, lines 24-31).
- 12. As per claim 13 Lohtia disclosed wherein the local data comprises the GPS position of the handset (Page.4, Paragraph. 0034).

Art Unit: 2145

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/15/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Response to applicant's argument is as follows.

13. Applicant argued that Lohita and Laursen does not fulfill the requirement to establish prima facie case of obviousness.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Laursen in the method of Lohtia to make the system efficient in terms of communication with data network through wireless means.

14. Applicant argued that Lohita does not disclose "a method of sending local information from wireless handset to web server"

As to applicant's argument Lohita discloses "wireless carriers can provide users with the capability to originate inbound SMS or micro browser message that are directed to the user's handset. By using a valid telephone number, wireless carriers can provide this capability without modification of the Mobile Switching Center (MSC) Home Location Register (HLR) or service Control Point (SCP) software". One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows

Art Unit: 2145

that information sending from a wireless carrier as browser messages is same as sending local information from a wireless handset to web server.

15. Applicant argued that Laursen fails to disclose the sequence of steps of sending local information from a wireless handset to a Web server via a uniform resource locator.

As to applicant's argument Laursen discloses, "The screen prompts user what to proceed with the keypad, with a sequence of keypad entries and through the phone, a user can interactively communicate with a server through the airnet, link server and the Internet (col. 9, lines 28-31)". One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows that sequencing of the phone can communicate with the link server where the link is also be a web server.

16. Applicant argued that prior failed to disclose receiving a service request from a user of the wireless handset, wherein the service request comprises a type of local information needed to carry out the service requests.

As to applicant's argument Laursen disclosed "it should be noted that server functions as a link server and a host server. The functional flowcharts on the client and server sides are cojointly described in the following with respect to a cellular phone (col. 13, lines 30-34). One ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention knows that server is define as a processor that process the service request and Laursen disclosed above that in terms of functioncality is same as the cellular phone or wireless handset.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,105 Page 7

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

17. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.
- 19. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on (571)-272-3933. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,105

Art Unit: 2145

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for un published applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866)-217-9197 (toll-free).

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

JASON CARDONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8